

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,214	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	WAL15US	1372
24011 7590 08/08/2007 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			EXAMINER	
393 DARLING STREET			GOLDBERG, BRIAN J	
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA	41		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	
			•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

TH	
K ET AL.	
e address	
d abandonment of evidence, which 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) n one of the following	
on, whichever is later. In rejection. VAS FILED WITHIN	
propriate extension fee opropriate extension fee al Office action; or (2) as ction, even if timely filed,	
months of the date of I of the appeal. Since	
red because	
fying the issues for	
ment (PTOL-324).	
ndment canceling the	
d an explanation of	
will not be entered	l

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/760,214		SILVERBROOK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Brian Goldberg	2861		
Examiner		Art Unit		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other e places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within time periods: a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejectio no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final r Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appr have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ap under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two r filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be enter (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplif appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendments 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amended non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,9,21-30 and 45. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \( \times \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: while the applicant's arguments regarding the copendency were persuasive, the argument regarding the subject matter of the claims being supported by the description of the parent was not found to be persuasive. No support was found in the sections cited by the applicant for "the printhead being supplied with a number of different inks which are remote from the printhead", nor was any support found for any of the subject matter found in dependent claims 2, 3, 9, 21-23, 25-30, and 45. As can best be seen in figures 1-3 and 5 of the parent application, the ink located in elements 2 and 3 is not remote from the printhead which can be represented by nozzle openings 4 and nozzle chambers 1. Also, examiner was unable to find support for the printhead being supplied with a number of different inks in the sections cited by the applicant. Therefore, no priority is given and the previous rejection still stands.

Brian Goldberg /2 AU 2861 August 3, 2007

> MATTHEW LUU IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER